

SVENSK STANDARD

SS-ISO/IEC 29500-1:2009

Fastställt/Approved: 2009-01-19

Publicerad/Published: 2009-10-21

Utgåva/Edition: 1

Språk/Language: engelska/English

ICS: 35.020; 35.040; 35.060; 35.240.30

Informationsteknik – Dokumentbeskrivningsspråk – Office Open XML File Formats – Del 1: Fundamentals and Markup Language Reference (ISO/IEC 29500-1:2008, IDT)

Information technology – Document description and processing languages – Office Open XML File Formats – Part 1: Fundamentals and Markup Language Reference (ISO/IEC 29500-1:2008, IDT)

This preview is downloaded from www.sis.se. Buy the entire standard via <https://www.sis.se/std-68693>



SWEDISH
STANDARDS
INSTITUTE

Hitta rätt produkt och ett leveranssätt som passar dig

Standarder

Genom att följa gällande standard både effektiviserar och säkrar du ditt arbete. Många standarder ingår dessutom ofta i paket.

Tjänster

Abonnemang är tjänsten där vi uppdaterar dig med aktuella standarder när förändringar sker på dem du valt att abonnera på.

På så sätt är du säker på att du alltid arbetar efter rätt utgåva.

e-nav är vår online-tjänst som ger dig och dina kollegor tillgång till standarder ni valt att abonnera på dygnet runt. Med e-nav kan samma standard användas av flera personer samtidigt.

Leveranssätt

Du väljer hur du vill ha dina standarder levererade. Vi kan erbjuda dig dem på papper och som pdf.

Andra produkter

Vi har böcker som underlättar arbetet att följa en standard. Med våra böcker får du ökad förståelse för hur standarder ska följas och vilka fördelar den ger dig i ditt arbete. Vi tar fram många egna publikationer och fungerar även som återförsäljare. Det gör att du hos oss kan hitta över 500 unika titlar. Vi har även tekniska rapporter, specifikationer och "workshop agreement".

Matriser är en översikt på standarder och handböcker som bör läsas tillsammans. De finns på sis.se och ger dig en bra bild över hur olika produkter hör ihop.

Standardiseringsprojekt

Du kan påverka innehållet i framtida standarder genom att delta i någon av SIS ca 400 Tekniska Kommittéer.

Find the right product and the type of delivery that suits you

Standards

By complying with current standards, you can make your work more efficient and ensure reliability. Also, several of the standards are often supplied in packages.

Services

Subscription is the service that keeps you up to date with current standards when changes occur in the ones you have chosen to subscribe to. This ensures that you are always working with the right edition.

e-nav is our online service that gives you and your colleagues access to the standards you subscribe to 24 hours a day. With e-nav, the same standards can be used by several people at once.

Type of delivery

You choose how you want your standards delivered. We can supply them both on paper and as PDF files.

Other products

We have books that facilitate standards compliance. They make it easier to understand how compliance works and how this benefits you in your operation. We produce many publications of our own, and also act as retailers. This means that we have more than 500 unique titles for you to choose from. We also have technical reports, specifications and workshop agreements.

Matrices, listed at sis.se, provide an overview of which publications belong together.

Standardisation project

You can influence the content of future standards by taking part in one or other of SIS's 400 or so Technical Committees.

Den internationella standarden ISO/IEC 29500-1:2008 gäller som svensk standard. Detta dokument innehåller den officiella engelska versionen av ISO/IEC 29500-1:2008.

The International Standard ISO/IEC 29500-1:2008 has the status of a Swedish Standard. This document contains the official English version of ISO/IEC 29500-1:2008.

© Copyright/Upphovsrätten till denna produkt tillhör SIS, Swedish Standards Institute, Stockholm, Sverige. Användningen av denna produkt regleras av slutanvändarlicensen som återfinns i denna produkt, se standardens sista sidor.

© Copyright SIS, Swedish Standards Institute, Stockholm, Sweden. All rights reserved. The use of this product is governed by the end-user licence for this product. You will find the licence in the end of this document.

Upplysningar om sakinnehållet i standarden lämnas av SIS, Swedish Standards Institute, telefon 08-555 520 00.

Standarder kan beställas hos SIS Förlag AB som även lämnar allmänna upplysningar om svensk och utländsk standard.

Information about the content of the standard is available from the Swedish Standards Institute (SIS), tel +46 8 555 520 00.

Standards may be ordered from SIS Förlag AB, who can also provide general information about Swedish and foreign standards.

SIS Förlag AB, SE 118 80 Stockholm, Sweden. Tel: +46 8 555 523 10. Fax: +46 8 555 523 11.

E-mail: sis.sales@sis.se Internet: www.sis.se

Table of Contents

Foreword	vii
Introduction	viii
1. Scope	1
2. Conformance	2
2.1 Goal.....	2
2.2 Issues.....	2
2.3 What ISO/IEC 29500 Specifies	3
2.4 Document Conformance.....	3
2.5 Application Conformance	4
2.6 Application Descriptions	5
2.7 Interoperability Guidelines	6
3. Normative References	8
4. Terms and Definitions	12
5. Notational Conventions	14
6. Acronyms and Abbreviations	15
7. General Description	16
8. Overview	17
8.1 Packages and Parts	17
8.2 Consumers and Producers	17
8.3 WordprocessingML.....	17
8.4 SpreadsheetML.....	18
8.5 PresentationML.....	19
8.6 Supporting MLs.....	20
9. Packages	22
9.1 Office Open XML's Use of OPC.....	22
9.2 Relationships in Office Open XML	23
10. Markup Compatibility and Extensibility	28
10.1 Constraints on Office Open XML's Use of Markup Compatibility and Extensibility	28
11. WordprocessingML	29
11.1 Glossary of WordprocessingML-Specific Terms	29
11.2 Package Structure.....	29
11.3 Part Summary	31
11.4 Document Template	58
11.5 Framesets	58
11.6 Master Documents and Subdocuments	59
11.7 Mail Merge Data Source.....	61
11.8 Mail Merge Header Data Source	61
11.9 XSL Transformation	62

12. SpreadsheetML	64
12.1 Glossary of SpreadsheetML-Specific Terms	64
12.2 Package Structure	65
12.3 Part Summary	67
12.4 External Workbooks	101
13. PresentationML	103
13.1 Glossary of PresentationML-Specific Terms	103
13.2 Package Structure	103
13.3 Part Summary	106
13.4 HTML Publish Location	124
13.5 Slide Synchronization Server Location	125
14. DrawingML	127
14.1 Glossary of DrawingML-Specific Terms	127
14.2 Part Summary	127
15. Shared	140
15.1 Glossary of Shared Terms	140
15.2 Part Summary	141
15.3 Hyperlinks	164
16. Part Overview	166
16.1 WordprocessingML Summary	166
16.2 SpreadsheetML Summary	166
16.3 PresentationML Summary	167
16.4 DrawingML Summary	168
16.5 Shared Summary	168
17. WordprocessingML Reference Material	171
17.1 Table of Contents	171
17.2 Main Document Story	191
17.3 Paragraphs and Rich Formatting	199
17.4 Tables	398
17.5 Custom Markup	528
17.6 Sections	609
17.7 Styles	678
17.8 Fonts	749
17.9 Numbering	772
17.10 Headers and Footers	821
17.11 Footnotes and Endnotes	836
17.12 Glossary Document	876
17.13 Annotations	897
17.14 Mail Merge	1044
17.15 Settings	1090
17.16 Fields and Hyperlinks	1298
17.17 Miscellaneous Topics	1442
17.18 Simple Types	1453
18. SpreadsheetML Reference Material	1684

18.1	Table of Contents	1684
18.2	Workbook	1703
18.3	Worksheets.....	1756
18.4	Shared String Table.....	1896
18.5	Tables.....	1907
18.6	Calculation Chain	1924
18.7	Comments	1928
18.8	Styles.....	1936
18.9	Metadata	1993
18.10	Pivot Tables.....	2010
18.11	Shared Workbook Data	2176
18.12	QueryTable Data.....	2210
18.13	External Data Connections	2218
18.14	Supplementary Workbook Data	2242
18.15	Volatile Dependencies.....	2256
18.16	Custom XML Mappings.....	2262
18.17	Formulas	2270
18.18	Simple Types.....	2664
19.	PresentationML Reference Material	2753
19.1	Table of Contents	2753
19.2	Presentation	2759
19.3	Slides.....	2806
19.4	Comments	2861
19.5	Animation	2866
19.6	Slide Synchronization Data	2972
19.7	Simple Types.....	2974
20.	DrawingML - Framework Reference Material.....	3007
20.1	DrawingML - Main	3007
20.2	DrawingML - Picture	3447
20.3	DrawingML - Locked Canvas.....	3457
20.4	DrawingML - WordprocessingML Drawing.....	3458
20.5	DrawingML - SpreadsheetML Drawing.....	3507
21.	DrawingML - Components Reference Material.....	3545
21.1	DrawingML - Main	3545
21.2	DrawingML - Charts	3751
21.3	DrawingML - Chart Drawings.....	3910
21.4	DrawingML - Diagrams	3938
22.	Shared MLs Reference Material.....	4070
22.1	Math	4070
22.2	Extended Properties	4230
22.3	Custom Properties	4240
22.4	Variant Types.....	4243
22.5	Custom XML Data Properties	4260
22.6	Bibliography.....	4263
22.7	Additional Characteristics.....	4311

22.8	Office Document Relationships	4315
22.9	Shared Simple Types.....	4317
23.	Custom XML Schema References	4340
23.1	Table of Contents	4340
23.2	Elements	4340
Annex A. (normative)	Schemas – W3C XML Schema	4345
A.1	WordprocessingML.....	4345
A.2	SpreadsheetML.....	4410
A.3	PresentationML.....	4494
A.4	DrawingML - Framework	4525
A.5	DrawingML - Components	4590
A.6	Shared MLs.....	4641
A.7	Custom XML Schema References	4665
Annex B. (informative)	Schemas – RELAX NG	4667
B.1	WordprocessingML.....	4667
B.2	SpreadsheetML.....	4712
B.3	PresentationML.....	4806
B.4	DrawingML - Framework	4831
B.5	DrawingML - Components	4880
B.6	Shared MLs.....	4916
B.7	Custom XML Schema References	4932
B.8	Additional Resources	4932
Annex C. (informative)	Additional Syntax Constraints	4934
Annex D. (informative)	Namespace Prefix Mapping in Examples	4935
Annex E. (informative)	Processing Bitfields with XSLT	4937
E.1	Handling raw bitfields	4937
E.2	Handling hexadecimal-encoded bitfields	4938
Annex F. (informative)	WordprocessingML Custom XML Data Extraction.....	4940
Annex G. (normative)	WordprocessingML Page Borders.....	4942
Annex H. (normative)	Predefined SpreadsheetML Style Definitions.....	4943
H.1	Built-in Table Styles.....	4943
H.2	Built-in Cell Styles.....	4999
H.3	Built-in PivotTable AutoFormats.....	5003
Annex I. (informative)	Example Predefined DrawingML Shape and Text Geometries.....	5019
Annex J. (informative)	Bidirectional Support.....	5020
J.1	Introduction	5020
J.2	Shared (WordprocessingML and DrawingML).....	5020
J.3	WordprocessingML.....	5022
J.4	SpreadsheetML.....	5025
J.5	PresentationML.....	5026
J.6	DrawingML.....	5026

J.7	The Unicode Bidirectional Algorithm and Office Open XML	5026
Annex K. (informative) Accessibility Best Practices		5030
K.1	The Value of Creating an Accessible Office Open XML Implementation.....	5030
K.2	Needs by Type of Disability.....	5031
K.3	Best Practices for Developers	5034
K.4	Best Practices for Document and Template Authors	5037
K.5	Best Practices for Customers of Office Open XML Implementations.....	5050
Annex L. (informative) Root Element Locations.....		5063
L.1	Grouped by Part Name	5063
L.2	Grouped by Schema Name	5065
Annex M. (informative) Primer		5069
M.1	Introduction to WordprocessingML	5069
M.2	Introduction to SpreadsheetML.....	5162
M.3	Introduction to PresentationML	5301
M.4	Introduction to DrawingML	5333
M.5	Introduction to VML.....	5492
M.6	Introduction to Shared MLs	5506
M.7	Miscellaneous Topics	5538
Annex N. (informative) Differences Between ISO/IEC 29500:2008 and ECMA-376:2006.....		5553
N.1	WordprocessingML.....	5553
N.2	SpreadsheetML.....	5556
N.3	PresentationML.....	5557
N.4	DrawingML.....	5558
N.5	VML.....	5559
N.6	Shared	5559
N.7	Custom XML Schema References	5560

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC 29500 was prepared by Ecma International (as ECMA-376:2006) and was adopted, under a special “fast-track procedure”, by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, in parallel with its approval by the national bodies of ISO and IEC.

Some important differences between ISO/IEC 29500 and ECMA-376:2006 are given in Annex N.

ISO/IEC 29500 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information technology — Document description and processing languages — Office Open XML File Formats*:

- *Part 1: Fundamentals and Markup Language Reference*
- *Part 2: Open Packaging Conventions*
- *Part 3: Markup Compatibility and Extensibility*
- *Part 4: Transitional Migration Features*

Annexes A, G and H form a normative part of this Part of ISO/IEC 29500. Annexes B–F and I–N are for information only.

This Part of ISO/IEC 29500 includes five annexes (Annex A, Annex B, Annex G, Annex H, and Annex I) that refer to data files provided in electronic form.

Introduction

ISO/IEC 29500 specifies a family of XML schemas, collectively called *Office Open XML*, which define the XML vocabularies for word-processing, spreadsheet, and presentation documents, as well as the packaging of documents that conform to these schemas.

The goal is to enable the implementation of the Office Open XML formats by the widest set of tools and platforms, fostering interoperability across office productivity applications and line-of-business systems, as well as to support and strengthen document archival and preservation, all in a way that is fully compatible with the existing corpus of Microsoft Office documents.

The following organizations have participated in the creation of ISO/IEC 29500 and their contributions are gratefully acknowledged:

Apple, Barclays Capital, BP, The British Library, Essilor, Intel, Microsoft, NextPage, Novell, Statoil, Toshiba, and the United States Library of Congress

Information technology — Document description and processing languages — Office Open XML File Formats

Part 1:

Fundamentals and Markup Language Reference

1. Scope

ISO/IEC 29500 defines a set of XML vocabularies for representing word-processing documents, spreadsheets and presentations. On the one hand, the goal of ISO/IEC 29500 is to be capable of faithfully representing the pre-existing corpus of word-processing documents, spreadsheets and presentations that had been produced by the Microsoft Office applications (from Microsoft Office 97 to Microsoft Office 2008, inclusive) at the date of the creation of ISO/IEC 29500. It also specifies requirements for Office Open XML consumers and producers. On the other hand, the goal is to facilitate extensibility and interoperability by enabling implementations by multiple vendors and on multiple platforms.

This Part of ISO/IEC29500 specifies concepts for documents and applications of both strict and transitional conformance.

2. Conformance

The text in ISO/IEC 29500 is divided into *normative* and *informative* categories. Text marked informative (using the mechanisms described in §7) is for information purposes only. Unless stated otherwise, all text is normative.

Use of the word “shall” indicates required behavior.

Any behavior that is not explicitly specified by ISO/IEC 29500 is implicitly unspecified (§4).

2.1 Goal

This subclause is informative

The goal of this clause is to define conformance, and to provide interoperability guidelines in a way that fosters broad and innovative use of the Office Open XML file format, while maximizing interoperability and preserving investment in existing files and applications (§4). By meeting this goal, ISO/IEC 29500 benefits the following audiences:

- Developers that design, implement, or maintain Office Open XML applications.
- Developers that interact programmatically with Office Open XML applications.
- Governmental or commercial entities that procure Office Open XML applications.
- Testing organizations that verify conformance of specific Office Open XML applications to ISO/IEC 29500. (Note that ISO/IEC 29500 does not include a test suite.)
- Educators and authors who teach about Office Open XML applications.

End informative subclause

2.2 Issues

This subclause is informative

To achieve the above goal, the following issues need to be considered:

1. The application domain encompasses a range of possible consumers (§4) and producers (§4) so broad that defining specific application behaviors would restrict innovation. For example, stipulating visual layout would be inappropriate for a consumer that extracts data for machine consumption, or that renders text in sound. Another example is that restricting capacity or precision runs the risk of diluting the value of future advances in hardware.
2. Commonsense user expectations regarding the interpretation of an Office Open XML package (§4) play such an important role in that package's value that a purely syntactic definition of conformance would fail to effect a useful level of interoperability. For example, such a definition would admit an application that reads a package, and then writes it in a manner that, though syntactically valid, differs arbitrarily from the original.

3. Legitimate operations on a package include deliberate transformations, making blanket change prohibitions inappropriate in the conformance definition. For example, collapsing spreadsheet formulas to their calculated values, or converting complex presentation graphics to static bitmaps, could be correct for an application whose published purpose is to perform those operations. Again, commonsense user expectation makes the difference.
4. Existing files and applications exercise a broad range of formats and functionality that, if required by the conformance definition, would add an impractical amount of bulk to ISO/IEC 29500 and could inadvertently obligate new applications to implement a prohibitive amount of functionality. This issue is caused by the breadth of currently available functionality and is compounded by the existence of legacy formats.

End informative subclause

2.3 What ISO/IEC 29500 Specifies

To address the issues listed above, ISO/IEC 29500 constrains both syntax and semantics, but it is not intended to predefine application behavior. Therefore, it includes, among others, the following three types of information:

1. W3C XML Schemas and an associated validation procedure for validating document syntax against those schemas. (The validation procedure includes un-zipping, locating files, processing the extensibility XML elements and attributes, and W3C XML Schema validation.)
2. Additional syntax constraints in written form. [*Note*: These constraints are described in written form because they could not feasibly be expressed in the schema language. *end note*]
3. Descriptions of XML element semantics. The semantics of an XML element refers to its intended interpretation by a human being.

2.4 Document Conformance

Document conformance is purely syntactic; it involves only Items 1 and 2 in §2.3 above.

- A conforming document shall conform to the transitional W3C XML Schema or the strict W3C XML Schema (Item 1), and any additional syntax constraints (Item 2).
- The document shall be of category Wordprocessing, Spreadsheet, or Presentation.
- The document character set shall conform to the Unicode Standard and ISO/IEC 10646:2003, with either the UTF-8 or UTF-16 encoding form, as required by the XML 1.0 standard.
- Any XML element or attribute not explicitly included in ISO/IEC 29500 shall use the extensibility mechanisms described by ISO/IEC 29500-1 and ISO/IEC 29500-3.

Each Part of this multi-part standard has its own conformance clause. The term *conformance class* is used to disambiguate conformance within different Parts of this multi-part standard. This Part of ISO/IEC 29500 defines the following document conformance classes:

- *WML Strict*, if the document is a conforming document of category Wordprocessing that conforms to the strict schema and does not include any features from Part 4.

- *SML Strict*, if the document is a conforming document of category Spreadsheet that conforms to the strict schema and does not include any features from Part 4.
- *PML Strict*, if the document is a conforming document of category Presentation that conforms to the strict schema and does not include any features from Part 4.

In addition, documents of conformance class WML Strict, SML Strict, or PML Strict shall not embed documents of conformance class WML Transitional, SML Transitional, or PML Transitional as defined in Part 4.

Document categories Wordprocessing, Spreadsheet, and Presentation are defined in §4.

[*Note*: Other document conformance classes could be defined in the future. *end note*]

[*Note*: A document cannot be of more than one of the above conformance classes. *end note*]

2.5 Application Conformance

Application conformance incorporates both syntax and semantics; it involves items 1, 2, and 3 in §2.3 above.

- A conforming consumer shall not reject any conforming documents of at least one document conformance class.
- A conforming producer shall be able to produce conforming documents of at least one document conformance class.
- A conforming application shall treat the information in Office Open XML documents in a manner consistent with the semantic definitions given in ISO/IEC 29500. An application's intended behavior need not require that application to process all of the information in an Office Open XML document. However, the information that it does process shall be processed in a manner that is consistent with the semantic definitions given in ISO/IEC 29500.

[*Note*: This note illustrates the third bullet above. Conforming applications might serve various functions. Examples include a viewer, an editor, and a back-end processor. Here is an illustration of how the third bullet applies to each of those examples:

- If a conforming viewer supports a given feature, then when it displays information using that feature, it respects the semantics of that feature as described in the Standard.
- If a conforming editor supports a given feature, then when it provides its user with an interface for manipulating information using that feature, it respects the semantics of that feature as described in the Standard.
- If a conforming back-end processor supports a given feature, then when that processor transforms or assembles information involving that feature, that processor respects the semantics of that feature as described in the Standard.

end note]

This Part of ISO/IEC 29500 defines the following application conformance classes:

- *WML Strict*, if the application is a conforming application that is a consumer or producer of documents having conformance class WML Strict.
- *SML Strict*, if the application is a conforming application that is a consumer or producer of documents having conformance class SML Strict.
- *PML Strict*, if the application is a conforming application that is a consumer or producer of documents having conformance class PML Strict.

Conformance can also involve the use of application descriptions; see §2.6 for details.

2.6 Application Descriptions

An application can be defined as conforming to zero or more *application descriptions* in a particular conformance class.

The application descriptions defined within ISO/IEC 29500 are:

- Base
- Full

[*Note*: These application descriptions should not be taken as limiting the ability of an application provider to create innovative applications. They are intended as a mechanism for labelling applications rather than for restricting their capabilities. The intention is to promote interoperability between different applications that share the same conformance class. Application descriptions are orthogonal to the conformance of the documents produced by those applications. For example, a tool used for automated translation of documents might have an application description of “Base” but will still produce fully conformant documents. *end note*]

The application descriptions are determined in terms of an application’s semantic understanding of particular features. *Semantic understanding* is to be interpreted in that an application shall treat the information in Office Open XML documents in a manner consistent with the semantic definitions given in ISO/IEC 29500.

Each application description is identified by a URI.

The application descriptions are defined in the following subclauses.

2.6.1 Base Application Description

Description URI: <http://descriptions.openxmlformats.org/description/base>

An application conforming to this description has a semantic understanding of at least one feature within its conformance class.

[*Note*: In addition, applications that include a user interface are strongly recommended to support all accessibility features appropriate to that user interface. *end note*]

2.6.2 Full Application Description

Description URI: <http://descriptions.openxmlformats.org/description/full>

An application conforming to this description has a semantic understanding of every feature within its conformance class.

2.6.3 Additional Application Descriptions

It is expected that additional application descriptions will be defined within the maintenance process for ISO/IEC 29500. It is also expected that third parties might define their own application descriptions; for example to inform their procurement decisions, or to deal with domains such as accessibility.

[*Note*: A possible application description would be a “standard” application description for a wordprocessing application. This could be created by taking the intersection of the features available in common wordprocessing applications such as Word 2000, OpenOffice 2, WordPerfect, and iWork Pages. In addition, it could define formats such as specific image and video formats required to be supported to conform to the description. Similar descriptions could be created for spreadsheet applications and presentation applications. Such a description would promote interoperability between applications implementing OOXML. It would also promote interoperability between applications implementing OOXML and applications implementing other document formats such as ISO/IEC 26300. *end note*]

Application descriptions are not required to be strict subsets of each other. An application can simultaneously conform to multiple application descriptions.

Any such newly created description shall enumerate the features that are required for conformance to it. Such a description should provide a machine-processable schema, preferably using a standard such as ISO/IEC 19757.

[*Note*: If the application conforming to a description is a document consumer, it should be able to consume any document that respects such a schema associated with the description. If the application is a document producer, any document produced by that application should respect the schema of the description. *end note*]

Any such description should be identified using a URI, in a similar manner to the names used for application descriptions within ISO/IEC 29500.

[*Note*: For the convenience of users of the description, it is recommended that creators of a description should make a human- or machine-readable form of that description available at a URL corresponding to the description URI. *end note*]

2.6.4 Representation of Application Descriptions within Documents

An application description is related to applications, rather than to document conformance. Therefore, there is no normative mechanism for representing an application description within a document.

[*Note*: It is recommended that implementers wishing to represent an application description within a document use the standard metadata mechanism for Office Open XML. *end note*]

2.7 Interoperability Guidelines

[*Guidance*: The following interoperability guidelines incorporate semantics (Item 3 in §2.3 above).

For the guidelines to be meaningful, a software application should be accompanied by documentation that describes what subset of ISO/IEC 29500 it supports. The documentation should highlight any behaviors that would, without that documentation, appear to violate the semantics of document XML elements. Together, the application and documentation should satisfy the following conditions.

1. The application need not implement operations on all XML elements defined in ISO/IEC 29500. However, if it does implement an operation on a given XML element, then that operation should use semantics for that XML element that are consistent with ISO/IEC 29500.
2. If the application moves, adds, modifies, or removes XML element instances with the effect of altering document semantics, it should declare the behavior in its documentation.

The following scenarios illustrate these guidelines.

- A presentation editor that interprets the preset shape geometry “rect” as an ellipse does not observe the first guideline because it implements “rect” but with incorrect semantics.
- A batch spreadsheet processor that saves only computed values even if the originally consumed cells contain formulas, might satisfy the first condition, but does not observe the second because the editability of the formulas is part of the cells’ semantics. To observe the second guideline, its documentation should describe the behavior.
- A batch tool that reads a word-processing document and reverses the order of text characters in every paragraph with “Title” style before saving it can be conforming even though ISO/IEC 29500 does not recommend this behavior. This tool’s behavior would be to transform the title “Office Open XML” into “LMX nepO eciffo”. Its documentation should declare its effect on such paragraphs.

The normative requirements in §2.4 imply that a conforming producer shall not write unescaped non-XML characters. As an implementation guideline, a conforming producer additionally should not write escaped non-XML characters. Doing so damages interoperability with existing XML-based standards such as SOAP and RDF. For example, implementers could either refuse to create documents including such characters, or warn users that including such characters compromises the re-usability of their documents. *end guidance*]

3. Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ANSI X3.4-1986, *American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII)*

Bureau of Standards, Metrology and Inspection of the Ministry of Economic Affairs, *CNS 7648: Data Elements and Interchange Formats — Information Interchange — Representation of Dates and Times*

Calendar Reform Committee, *Indian Ephemeris and Nautical Almanac*. 1957

Stokes, M., M. Anderson, S. Chandrasekar, and R. Motta. *A Standard Default color Space for the Internet. Vers. 1.10*. November 5, 1996. <http://www.w3.org/Graphics/Color/sRGB>

Har'El, Zvi, *Gauss Formula for the Julian Date of Passover*. Department of Mathematics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Isreal, 2005, 6

Duerst, M, and M Suignard. *Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs)*. IETF. January 2005.
<http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3987>

IANA, *Character Sets from IANA*, as specified at <http://www.iana.org/assignments/character-sets>

IANA. *MIME Media Types*. Internet Assigned Numbers Authority. <http://www.iana.org/assignments/media-types/>

IEC 60559:1989, *Binary Floating-Point Arithmetic for Microprocessor Systems*

ISO/IEC 2382-1:1993, *Information technology — Vocabulary — Part 1: Fundamental terms*

ISO 8601:2004, *Data elements and interchange formats — Information interchange — Representation of dates and times*

ISO/IEC 8859-1:1998, *Information technology — 8-bit single-byte coded graphic character sets — Part 1: Latin alphabet No. 1* (referred to in ISO/IEC 29500 as the ANSI character set)

ISO/IEC 9075-1, *Information technology — Database languages — SQL — Part 1: Framework (SQL/Framework)*

ISO/IEC 10118-3:2004, *Information technology — Security techniques — Hash-functions — Part 3: Dedicated hash-functions*.

ISO/IEC 10646:2003, *Information technology — Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set (UCS)*.

ISO/IEC 14496-22:2007, *Information technology — Coding of audio-visual objects — Part 22: Open Font Format*

Japanese Industrial Standard, JIS X 0301: *Data elements and interchange formats — Information interchange — Representation of dates and times*. Japan, 2002.

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Ministry of Islamic Affairs, Endowments, Da'wah and Guidance.

Korean Law Enactment No. 4, 1961.

Faure, D. (n.d.). *Creating and Using Components (KParts)*. Retrieved from KDE Developer's Corner: <http://developer.kde.org/documentation/tutorials/kparts/>

Maimon, Rabbi Moshe ben, *Complete Restatement of the Oral Law (Mishneh Torah)*.

Ausbrooks, Ron, et al. *Mathematical Markup Language (MathML) Version 2.0 (Second Edition)*. October 21, 2003. <http://www.w3.org/TR/MathML/>.

Kaliski, B. *The MD2 Message-Digest Algorithm*. April 1992. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1319.txt>

Rivest, R. *The MD4 Message-Digest Algorithm*. April 1992. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1320.txt>

The MD5 Message-Digest Algorithm. April 1992. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1321.txt>.

National Measurement Regulations 1999, Commonwealth of Australia
<http://scaleplus.law.gov.au/html/pastereg/3/1519/pdf/NatMeasurement1999.pdf>

NIST Guide to SI Units, <http://physics.nist.gov/Pubs/SP811/appenB9.html>

QuickTime File Format Specification (2007-09-04 version)
<http://developer.apple.com/documentation/QuickTime/QTFF/qtff.pdf>

Resource Description Framework (RDF), <http://www.w3.org/RDF/>

RFC 822, *Standard for ARPA Internet Text Messages* (<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0822.txt>)

RFC 2045, Borenstein, N., and N. Freed. *Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies*. The Internet Society. 1996. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2045.txt>

RFC 2119, Bradner, Scott, 1997: *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*.
<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

RFC 2616, Berners-Lee, T., R. Fielding, H. Frystyk, J. Gettys, P. Leach, L. Masinter, and J. Mogul. *Hypertext Transfer Protocol—HTTP/1.1*. The Internet Society. 1999. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2616.txt>

RFC 3066, Alvestrand, H. *Tags for the Identification of Languages*. The Internet Society. 2001.
<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3066.txt>